

## RSA (CONTINUED): COMPUTATIONAL ISSUES

MATH 195

Still to be discussed:

- Computing  $a^e \pmod n$  for given  $a, e, n$ .
- How to generate prime numbers of a given order of magnitude, how to tell whether a given number is prime (primality testing), and how to factor the number if it is not prime.
- “Leaking  $d$  enables one to factor  $n$ .”

### COMPUTING POWERS

There is a ‘fast’ algorithm that given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , computes  $a^m$  as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

Here is a really slow way to do it: View  $a$  as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , compute  $a^m = a \cdot a \cdots a$  using  $m - 1$  multiplications in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and compute  $a^m \pmod n$  by division with remainder. This is dreadful, because when  $n \approx 10^{200}$ , and  $m \approx 10^{50}$ ,  $a = 2$ , and  $a^m = 2^{10^{50}}$ : writing 100000... will take forever.

One can improve this by doing multiplications in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  (replace every element by its remainder after division with  $n$ ). But this would still take  $10^{50}$  multiplications! Therefore we group the terms, since squaring will double our exponent.

Therefore when  $m = 2^i$ , then  $a^m = a^{2^i} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  can be computed from  $a$  by performing  $i$  squarings in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ : at the  $i$ th step, we obtain  $(a^{2^{i-1}})^2 = a^{2^i}$ . For general  $m$ , write  $m = \sum_{i=0}^k b_i 2^i = b_k b_{k-1} \dots b_1 b_0$  where  $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $b_k = 1$ ,  $k = \lfloor \log m / \log 2 \rfloor$  (round down). Then

$$a^m = \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ b_i=1}}^k a^{2^i}.$$

For example, if  $m = 57 = (111001)_2$ , we compute  $a^1, a^2, a^4, a^8$ , then multiply to get  $a^9$ , then  $a^{16}$  and multiply to get  $a^{25}$ , then compute  $a^{32}$  and multiply to get  $a^{57}$ . One can also read this in the other order, which requires the same number of squarings and multiplications: we follow the chain  $a^1, a^2, a^3, a^6, a^7, a^{14}, a^{28}, a^{56}, a^{57}$ .

Notice that the number of squarings is  $k = \lfloor \log m / \log 2 \rfloor$ , and the number of multiplications is  $\#\{i : b_i = 1\} - 1$ .

One can also compute in base 64, with digits between 0 and 63, and then one precomputes  $a^c$  for  $0 \leq c \leq 63$ .

If one needs to compute many  $a$  all to the same exponent (say 57), then we arrive at the theory of *addition chains*: in the chain 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 14, 28, 56, 57, or 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 16, 25, 32, 57, we insist that every number can be written as the sum of

---

This is some of the material covered February 28, in Math 195: Cryptography, taught by Hendrik Lenstra, prepared by John Voight [jvoight@math.berkeley.edu](mailto:jvoight@math.berkeley.edu).

two of the previous numbers. For example, we could also take 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 16, 19, 38, 57 (see Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*).

The time taken by this algorithm is  $c(\log m)$  multiplications in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $t \approx \log n / \log 2$  (the number of bits), and we assume multiplication is proportional to this, then the multiplication (with taking remainder) takes  $t^2$  time, so the total is  $c(\log m)(\log n)^2$ . (There are actually fast multiplications which take roughly  $c(\log n)(\log \log n)$ , relying upon the Fast Fourier Transform.) When  $m$  is roughly  $n$ , then this is  $c(\log n)^3$ .

As a comparison, consider the (extended) Euclidean algorithm: Suppose  $a$  and  $n$  have  $t$  bits ( $t$  proportional to  $c \log n$ ). Then the Euclidean algorithm takes at most  $ct$  steps, each step a division-with-remainder of two numbers of  $< t$  bits each (up to a constant). Therefore the total time is  $\approx ct^2$ . With the FFT methods, we can obtain  $\approx ct^{1+\epsilon}$ .

#### LEAKING $d$

There is a fast algorithm that given an odd integer  $n > 1$ , as well as an integer  $m > 0$  with the property that

$$\text{for all } a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*, a^m = 1 \pmod{n},$$

in practice completely factors  $n$  into prime factors.

You may assume this fact for the homework.